IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

HANKOOK TIRE AMERICA	§	
CORPORATION	§	
	§	
VS.	§	C.A. NO. H-09-3886
	§	JURY DEMAND
CARRIER TRANSPORT, INC.	§	

DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, CARRIER TRANSPORT, INC. ("Carrier"), one of the Defendants in the above entitled and captioned cause, and would file Defendant's Original Answer showing as follows:

I.

This Defendant has insufficient information to admit or deny the allegations set forth in paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Original Complaint. Therefore, said paragraph is denied in its entirety.

Π.

Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Original Complaint.

Ш.

This Defendant has no basis to deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Original Complaint relating to venue.

IV.

This Defendant has insufficient information to admit or deny the allegations set forth in paragraph 4 of Plaintiff's Original Complaint. Therefore, said paragraph is denied in its entirety.

V.

This Defendant admits it is a Texas Corporation as stated in paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Original Complaint.

VI.

This Defendant has insufficient information to admit or deny the allegations set forth in paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Original Complaint. Therefore, said paragraph is denied in its entirety.

VII.

This Defendant denies the allegations as set forth in paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Original Complaint.

VIII.

This Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Original Complaint.

IX.

This Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Original Complaint.

X.

Defendant has insufficient information upon which to base an admission or a denial, as to whether or not Plaintiff owned the cargo, therefore, same is denied.

XI.

Defendant specifically denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any and all relief sought by way of the *ad damnum* and prayer for relief contained in Plaintiff's Complaint.

XII.

Defendant asserts statute of limitations as an affirmative defense.

XIII.

Defendant demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant, Carrier Transport, Inc. respectfully request the Court deny Plaintiff's claims for relief for any further legal or equitable relief to which they may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted

TEKELL, BOOK, ALLEN & MORRIS, L.L.P.

GREGORY A. HOLLOWA

State Bar No: 24000502

CLARK EDGECOMB

State Bar No. 24032031 1221 McKinney, Suite 4300

Houston, Texas 77010

Telephone: (713) 222-9542

Facsimile: (713) 655-7727

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT, CARRIER TRANSPORT, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 15th day of February, 2010, true and correct copies of the foregoing instrument were e-filed with the Court and copied to all counsel of record:

Robert C. Oliver Sharpe & Oliver, L.L.P. 550 Westcott, Suite 230 Houston, Texas 77007-5096

GREGORY A. HOLLOWAY